

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

STEVEN T. MARVIK,

Plaintiff,

v.

CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER, *et al.*,

Defendants.

3:11-cv-00651-LRH-WGC

ORDER

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (#12<sup>1</sup>) entered on April 13, 2012, recommending granting Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *in forma pauperis* (#1), filed on September 8, 2011; denying as moot Plaintiff's Motion for Decision (#10) filed on March 16, 2012; and dismissing Plaintiff's complaint. No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed. The action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)B and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule 1B 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

1 (#12) entered on April 13, 2012, should be adopted and accepted.

2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#12)  
3 entered on April 13, 2012, is adopted and accepted, and Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *in forma*  
4 *pauperis* (#1) is **GRANTED**.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Decision (#10) is **DENIED** as moot.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED with prejudice**.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED this 22nd day of May, 2012.

10  
11 

12  
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26